

## REMARKS

Claim 11 has been rewritten in independent form by including in it the limitations of claims 1, 2, 3 and 4. Claims 1-4 have been cancelled. In paragraph 10 on page 6 of the Office action mailed 28 April 2006 the Examiner indicated that claim 11 would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. Claim 11 previously depended from claim 5, but the limitation of claim 5 has not been included in claim 11 since that limitation (“the tear strip further comprising a pair of spaced perforations extending across the closure flap”) is not essential. As acknowledged by the Examiner in the first paragraph on page 5 of the Office action mailed 28 April 2006 it is well known that a pair of spaced perforations may form a tear strip. Claim 11 is believed to be allowable in its rewritten form.

Claims 5-10, 12 and 14-15 have been amended to depend from claim 11. Since claim 11 is believed to be allowable as indicated above, dependent claims 5-10, 12 and 14-15 are also believed to be allowable.

Claim 26 has been rewritten in independent form by including in it the limitations of claims 16, 17, 18 and 19. Claims 16-19 have been cancelled. In paragraph 10 on page 6 of the Office action mailed 28 April 2006 the Examiner indicated that claim 26 would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. Claim 26 previously depended from claim 20, but the limitation of claim 20 has not been included in claim 26 since that limitation (“forming the tear strip by perforating the closure flap”) is not essential. Claim 26 is believed to be allowable in its rewritten form.

Claims 20-25, 27 and 29-30 have been amended to depend from claim 26. Since claim 26 is believed to be allowable as indicated above, dependent claims 20-25, 27 and 29-30 are also believed to be allowable.

Claims 13 and 28 have been cancelled, thereby overcoming the “Drawings” and “35 USC §112” objections set forth in the Office action mailed 28 April 2006.

In accordance with the foregoing it is submitted that this case is in condition for allowance, which is requested.

Respectfully submitted,



By:

---

Blake R. Wiggs  
Registration No. 29,505  
tel: 604.669.3432 ext. 8936  
fax: 604.681.4081  
e-mail: bwiggs@patentable.com

Vancouver, B.C.  
CANADA